BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

The Challenge of Soviet Power

The subject of my contribution to your 27th Annual Convention is, The Challenge of Soviet Power. This topic is a particularly appropriate one for the Edison Electric Institute. It was Lemin who defined Communism as the Soviet system plus electrification. The first Soviet economic plan, dating back to the early 1920's, had as its principal objective, the development of a modern electric power system.

Today, electric power is indispensable to military power. It operates the radars which are on watch against sheak attack. It makes possible the rapid transmission of timely warning to safeguard our mation. Vithout a large electric power industry, mass production of nuclear weapons would be impossible.

In our own intelligence business, electric power is no less vital. Intelligence depends upon the timely interpretation of hundreds of thousands of bits and pieces of information that flow into Washington every day. Pros the initial collection of information to its final analysis and dissemination, electronic machinery is a valued partner.

Electronics are a powerful cold war vector of the USSE and its allies in the Communist empire.

Ho country, not even Hitler's Gormany, has used radio in a massive effort to premote subversive policy as does the Seviet Union. Literally millions of words, arguing the case for consumism, are beaused to the Free World every day. Visely, I believe, the Free World takes no steps to jam Communist radio propagands. At the same time, the Soviet Bloc spends wast sums to jam the broadcasts which this government and other free governments beam to the Communist world. They have tried to build an electronic iron curtain around the minds of their own people.

It is no secident that the electronics production is one of the fastest growing industries in the Soviet Union. USER output increased eight times between 1950 and 1958, to reach a level roughly half that of the electronics industry of the United States. Last year their production of military electronics reached 50 per cent of our own. In contrast, Soviet consumers received only about 25 per cent of the TV's and other electronics which went to American civilians.

A few months ago your Institute, as representatives of the electric power industry of the United States, sent a delegation to the Soviet Union. Some of your members had an apportunity to observe firsthand Soviet progress in the field of electric power.

Today I should like to share with you some of my thoughts on the Soviet challenge in all its aspects - military, economic, and subversive.

Communism is somewhat like electricity, an all-persunsive and revolutionary force.

Its leaders are dedicated to achieving a victory that will be evidenced by the liquidation of expitalism and the emergence of a Sovietized world order. The most straightforward way to accomplish this objective would be by direct military action. However, large scale military attack on the West seems to be ruled out as a calculated Soviet policy, at least while the nuclear forces of the East and West remain in reasonable belance.

However, the Soviet leaders are not satisfied with the current balance of military power in the world. They are making streaments efforts to achieve a position of superiority. A large share of their scientific and technical capabilities are devoted to research on advanced waspens systems.

The Soviet Union concentrated on ballistic missiles early, exploiting the many Cerman assets at the close of World War IX.

They had a native progress underway by 1946 which was given a high priority. By February of 1959, Marshall Malinevsky, the Soviet Minister of Defense, could say,

"The armed forces (have been equipped) with a whole series of military ballistic rockets of intercontinental, continental, long, medium, and short range."

- L --

At the same time they are building formidable long-range striking capabilities and adding to air defense, the Soviet Union maintains an army of two and one balf million men. The tradition of universal military training is being continued. Modernization has not been neglected. The Soviet Army today is fully equipped with a postwar generation of tanks and artillery. Their policy is one of maintaining massive conventional forces while adding advanced weapons systems.

The Soviet subsarine strength today is many times the strength with which Germany entered World War II. This force poses a grave threat to Allied task forces and sea lines of communication. We believe that for years the Soviet sub-crows have familiarized themselves with world waterways by serving in Bloc marchant ships and fishing fleets. The size of the subsarine fleet now seems well established; the future trend will be toward numbear-propolled and missile-launching types.

All this costs the Soviets a great seal of money. Despite the Kremlin leaders claim to be pursuing a policy of "peaceful co-existence," military outlays enjoy a first priority in their planning. The USSR's military effort, as a proportion of gross national product, is greater than that of any other mation in the world. Stated another way, this means that the diversion of economic resources to military support is without any parallel in the peacetime history of the West.

The Soviete hide such of their military expenditures behind a veil of secrecy. What they announce as "defense expenditures," a one line entry in the annual budget, cannot cover more than about 60 per cent of their total effort. The remainder is buried in budgetary accounts with innocuous titles. For example, most military research and development is paid for out of an account labelled, "Social and Cultural Expenditures."

As Soviet military capabilities have grown, their foreign policy has become more assertive. In 1956 during the Summa crisis, missile ratiling was first unveiled as a new tectic of Soviet diplomacy. The lines of conflict with the West have been more sharply drawn in the past year. The Communists have deliberately been agitating two of the most dangerous and potentially explosive areas of the world -- The Taiwan Straits and Berlin.

I do not interpret this increased belligerence to mean that the Soviet Union is planning to provide the United States into a war. They have great respect for our capability to devestable the USSR. They will continue to probe and to test, and where feasible will support other countries in aggression by proxy.

I would like to turn now to the highlights of the economic aspects of the Soviet challenge. The new confidence of Ehrushebev, the very vecal Soviet leader, rests on his conviction that the final victory of communism will be achieved mainly by non-military

means. The proceedings of the recent 21st Party Congress laid out this objective without equivocation. I think of it as the Soviet seconds order of battle.

Enrusheder in six hours and ten minutes of opening remerks and three and a half hours of closing remarks, said in effect,

The main tack is the further development of the economic might of the Soviet Union on the basis of the priority growth of heavy industry which will insure the victory of the Soviet Union in peaceful economic competition with the capitalist countries our success in the fulfillment of the plan will attract millions of new adherents and give communion a decimive edge in the international balance of power"

How serious is the challenge of Soviet economic growth?

Before looking into the future, let's take a minute to review the past. As has been aptly said, the past is the prologue of the future.

In the short space of 30 years, the Soviet Union has grown from a relatively backward nation into the second largest economy in the world. It is true that their headlong pace of industrialization has slowed down moderately in the past few years. I find no confort in this. It is not important that the Soviet rate of

development has moderated. What is important is that it con-

Let's look at some recent history. During the past seven years, Soviet industry has grown at an amount rate of 9% per cent. This is not the official rate the Soviets have amounteed. It is a reconstruction of Soviet data, deflated for known differences with our own Foderal Receive Board index.

We can compare the Soviet performance with that of UE industry in the 1950-57 period. I have dropped back one year in our case to avoid dispute about the inclusion of 1950 as non-representative. Even giving the UE the benefit of this adjustment, our recent annual industrial growth rate has been 3.5 per cent. This means that Soviet industry has been growing roughly two and a half times as rapidly as industry in the United States.

I do not emelude from this analysis that the secret of Soviet success lies in greater efficiency. On the contrary. In comparison with the leading free enterprise economies of the West, the Communist system is relatively inefficient.

The secret of Soviet success is simple. It lies in the fact that the Kremlin leaders direct a far higher proportion of total resources to national policy purposes than does the United States. I define national policy purposes to include, essent other things, defense and investment in industry.

Howhere are the contrasts in national objectives clearer than in the investment field. First of all, Seviet national product is only some 40 to 45 per cent of US national product. However, the dollar value of Seviet investment is already about three courters of that of the US.

Secondly, Soviet investment in industry is now running some 10 to 20 per cost higher than such outlays in our own country.

In comparison, our capital expenditures for transportation and communications are more than double the comparable Soviet expenditures. This reflects, in large part, our madeive highway building program. US investment spending for highways has been running 15 to 20 times comparable Soviet spending.

Commercial investment, which includes stores, shopping centers, and drive-in-movies, has been absorbing over six billion dollars a year in the US, compared to less than two billion in the USSR.

Our housing investment has been running nearly twice that of the Soviets, even though living space per capita in the United States is already over four times that in the UNER.

Purther, although the Soviet occurry has increased its output of communer goods in recent years, production of communer durables is far below that of the US. For example, in 1958 the USE commiscented only one-tenth the number of home refrigerators and freezers as the US and only one-seventh the

number of home washing machines. Furthermore, most of the UE of the UESR is for first time uners.

In 1958, a bad year for the UE auto industry, we still produced about 35 times the number of passenger care as the UESE. Kirushchev seys the Soviet people are on the read from socialism to communism; it is clear that when the Russians arrive in stepie, they will not be driving their own sars.

In suggesty, these contrasts explain the principal resects for Soviet successes. Their occurs is geared to economic growth; ours is geared to increasing consumer satisfactions and the standard of living.

What of the future? In Kurushchev's words, "The Soviet Union intends to outstrip the United States economically ... to surpass the level of production in the United States means to exceed the highest indexes of capitalism."

Enrushment's ambitious seven your plan establishes the formidable task of increasing industrial production about 80 per cent by 1965.

Steel production is to be pushed close to 100 million net tons. Communication is set at a level somewhat higher than industry forcests place United States production in 1965.

The energy base is to be revolutionised. Crude oil and natural gas will constitute more than one half of the total energy mapply, and relatively high cost coal will be far loss important than now.

by 1965, the UBSE plans to produce about 480 billion kilometh hours of electricity. As your own comparative study pointed out, this means that the absolute gay between US and USSE power production will increase somethat over the next seven years.

Your interesting study received a considerable assumt of deserved publicity. Unfortunately, some commentators concluded from your findings that the absolute gap between US and UESE production would continue to increase across the board.

A comparison of primary energy production in the two countries shows that this is not so. Doviet production of coal, petroleum, natural gas and hydroelectric power, expressed in standard fuel units, assounted to 45 per cent of the UI in 1958. By 1965 it will be close to 60 per cent. The absolute gap has been closing since 1950. It will continue to narrow over the next serves years.

Similarly, the absolute gap in steel <u>production</u> has been closing over the past five years. The maximum gap in steel especity apparently was reached in 1958.

It is clour that the comforting illusion sured the "disciples of the absolute gry" has been chattered. History has overtaken those well-meaning people who shrugged off the Coviet rate of growth as 'no problem because absolute increases in output were running higher in the US than in the USSA.

At the same time, it is important not to emaggerate Soviet prospects in the economic race. In the propaganda surrounding the launching of the Seven Year Flan, Ehrushchev ands a number of statements about Soviet economic power which were wishful thinking. Specifically he stated that, "after the completion of the Seven Year Flan, we will probably need about five more years to catch up with and outstrip the United States in industrial output. Thus, by that time (1970), or perhaps even somer, the Seviet Union will advance to first place in the world both in absolute volume of production and in per capita production."

First of all, to reach such improbable conclusions, the Erealin leaders overstate their present componentive position. They claim UNER industrial output to be 50 per cent of that of the US. However, their own data on electric power input into industry in 1976 were b0 per cent, not 50 per cent, of comparable power input into US industry. I know of no magic in the Communist system which would permit the value of output to be disproportion whely high in relation to inputs. Further, all of our our analyses

of Soviet industrial output last year was about 40 per cent of our own.

Secondly, Khrushchev forecasts that our future industrial growth will be only two per cent a year. If this is true, the United States will be virtually constitting economic suicide. By 1965, we would have a burden of unemployment worse than that in the 1930's. This prediction I regard as untemable.

A more realistic prejection would place 1965 Soviet industrial production at about 55 per cent of our own. By 1970, assuming the same relative rates of growth, U.S. industrial output, as a whole, would be about 60 per cent of that in the United States.

Further, when Karushehev promises his people the world's highest standard of living by 1970, this is patently nonsense. It is as though the shring had learned to whistle, to use one of his colorful community.

These Soviet exaggerations are a standard tool of Communist propagatide. The propagation should not blind us, however, to the solvering implications of their expected ecommonic progress.

First of all, rapid economic growth will provide the Erselin leaders with additional resources to intensify the arms race. If recent trends continue, I would not be surprised to see Soviet military spending increase by over 50 per cent in the next seven years. The further strengthening of great military power,

Abrashohev apparently believes, will deter the Wost from countering Soviet Bloc siventurism.

Secondly, additional improvement can be made in the standards of living of the Russian people. It is only since the double of Stalin that serious attention has been given to improving the standards of living of the Russian people. The moderate slow-down in the headlong growth of heavy industry has been caused, in large part, by the diversion of resources to bousing, to agriculture, and to emember goods.

Living standards, based on present Soviet plans, are expected to increase about 50 per cent over the next seven years.

This level, if echieved, will still be far below that our own
citizens are enjoying. However, it will look very good to the
peoples of underdeveloped countries, and most importantly,
represent a goal such countries could hope to achieve by following
the Communist pattern of development.

Finally, achievement of the goals of the Soviet Seven Year Plan will provide the where-with-all to push the expansion of Communium in the uncommitted nations. By 1965, Soviet output of many basic raw materials and industrial products will be approaching, and in a few cases exceeding, that of the United States. Next proximently, these products will be the kind that are maded for industrialization in the less developed countries.

The outcome of this contest, the Communist challenge in underdeveloped areas, is crucial to the survival of the Pres World.

The world is in an unprecedented epoch of change. Within little more than ten years, over three quarters of a million people, in twenty one nations, have become independent of colonial rule. In all of these newly emergent countries, there is intense nationalism coupled with the determination to achieve a better way of life.

The leaders of world communism are alart to the opportunity which this great transformation provides them. They realize the future of communism can be insured only by expansion, and that the best hope of such expansion lies in Asia and Africa.

International communion has marshalled its political, economic and subversive weapons in vigorous action programs.

Communion is grinly determined to win this contest.

On the engages penetration front, the Communist program moved into high gear during 1958. The equivalent of ever one billion Collars in new credits were extended to underdeveloped countries by the MDSR, its Deropean Fatellites, and Communist China.

Over 4,000 Bloc technicisms have been sent to essist the development of Free Forld sations. About 70 per cent of these

technicians are engaged in communic activities. Others are reorganizing local military cotablishments and teaching Nice military doctrine to indigenous personnel.

The Bloc also has a well developed program for training students from underdeveloped countries behind the from curtain.

About 3,200 students, technicisms, and military specialists have now received such training.

The USES, with its greater prestige, negotiates agreements and provides major credits. Actual implementation is frequently assigned to the European satellites. This technique credits the Soviet Union to exert regime control over the Bloc penetration program. It also brings the combined economic strength of the satellites and the USES to bear in each target country.

This resalve economic and military aid progress is concentrated in only a few countries. India, which has received over \$925 million of Bloc greate and credits, is a primary recipient. The economic almoglece is the Bhilai eteal mill, being built by the Suspices.

It is true that the Corlect technicisms belying to build the steel plant have not, with few exceptions, engaged in subversive activity. However, the cooperative presence of Covict personnel, the highly visible symbols of assistance and the barrage of protect radio processis critics to create a climate in which

For energie, this the Indo-Covint Baltaral Sacisty, which expenses to be a typical Consumist Front organization. Organized only a few years ago, it now claims 60 branches. Seven of these are located in Madiya Predent State, where the Russian Food.

which are pro-communist, or which deplot alleged atmostions countities by American soldiers.

Common Libraries and Journals, they opened claried propopania. For example, in many Indian cities today you can see a large cities cleart titled, "Industrial Growth, USS and USA."

It should be in every handbook as an example of how to converse ctabletics for any occasion.

This chart begins and ends with the two worst portions
for the United States - 1986 and the first quarter of 1996. Using
1986 as a base of 100 per cost for both countries, the statistical
trickers attempts to create the impression that:

by 1950, Soviet production was one and a ball times greater than that of the US.

By 1957, Sewiet output was five times larger than that of the UE, and that

The first quarter decline in 35 production wiped out US increases in recent years.

The artist added his socialist realism to the work of the statistical manipulation. The USER line is backed by a massive oil cracking plant with Kremlin-like baroque clock tweers. The US is represented by a pot-ballied, dark spectacled thate Sam, standing on the US production line and bending far backwards in order to view the exhaulted loval of Soviet production. To cap it all, Uncle Sam's log is conveniently placed to hide our best years of growth.

To a moghisticated audience, this crude manipulation would be laughed away. But it is aimed at a ment-literate group of Indian workers, and to this audience, it is effective. The large Indian state of Karala is now governed by Communists.

Although still small, the Communist party is growing.

furning to the Middle East, Iraq provides a prime example of the opportunistic nature of the Bloc's aid program.

Prior to the coup d'etat on July 14th last year, Iraq's economic involvement with Communist nations had been negligible. In the past nine months, the UESR has provided over 250 million of military and economic development credits. The Iraq Development Board has dropped its two Western advisors. Western

technicists were also discissed and several contracts with heatern firms carrelled. Increasingly, Coneral Quantum's regime is dependent on Constants constant expension of Constants in high government posts is growing.

As in Iraq, the Communists edept programs which sound appealing to many people in underdeveloped nations. They are always the proposents of peace, co-existence, anti-colonialism, anti-imperialism, and positive authoralism. A Moscor trained Communist may, for months and even years, identify himself completely with national additions. Ills true colors as an agent of international Communism do not show until the fateful day of takeover.

Dissilition to the people of the International Comments soverment, the propagation barrage is heavy. Millions of cheap books in local languages are published and circulated. Text books are provided for school children. Conic strips are used entersively to get across the Comments message to the less educated people. The Soviets and the Communist Chinose do more propagation radio broadcoarting them all of the rest of the countries of the world broadcoarting them all of the rest of the countries of the world newspapers, published in 80 different languages, all of which appear to be originated locally but which carry the message of the Kreelin.

My purpose today has been to cutline for you the dimensions of the Soviet challenge.

In Western Europe, the danger has been faced and contained. The extensive Communist perstruction of Greece and the threat to Turboy were throse back by the Greek-Zurkish assistance program. The Baraball Plan and BATO and the vigorous programs for West European unity have substantially reduced Communist power in the last several years. The Communist Party still has powerful influence in the labor severante of France and Tonly but even in these countries the advance of communists has been decinively checked.

In many other areas of the world there is not yet an adequate inderstanding of the sebreraive peril of Communicat. We must realize that the choice between communicat and freedom is not always as simple and easy a decision for great masses of people as it might seem to us.

In two many mations of Asia and Africa, per capita incomes average loss than (100 a year. Life expectancies are half those of the advanced mations of the best. Illiteracy is the rule, not the exception. To these people, determined to establish quickly a decent standard of living, the Soviet story of rapid excessio growth is a magnet.

- 20 -

The Free North has the resources to meet, contain and overcome the challenge of Soviet power. As the strengest ration in the Free Forld, the United States must be the leader. The real Cauger, as I see it, is the possibility of our complanent satisfaction, our prescription with raising the already high standards of living of the world's meet affinent society. The tragety of our time would be to fail to recognise the realities of the Soviet shallenge, to fail to react to it with the vigor and force its gravity requires.